In the United States District Court For the Southern District of Georgia Savannah Division

Tommy Lee Williams, Henrietta) Williams, Laquana Beniman, Civil Action Brian Jamar Williams, Dewayne) File Number CV409-107 Jermaine Williams, and Latreka M. Edwards, Plaintiffs. v. Bryan County, a Political Subdivision of the State of Georgia; City of Pembroke, a Political Subdivision of the State of Georgia; Clyde Smith, Individually and as Sheriff of Bryan County; Mark Crowe, Individually, as a Deputy Sheriff of Bryan County and as the Chief of Police of Pembroke Police Department; Cleve White, Individually, as a Deputy Sheriff of Bryan County and as a Police Officer of the Pembroke Police Department; and Susan Carrington Individually, and as a Deputy) Sheriff of Bryan County, Defendants.

BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO DISMISS

Come Now, Tommy Lee Williams ("Plaintiff"), Henrietta Williams, Laquana Beniman, Brian Jamar Williams, Jermaine Williams, and Latreka M. Edwards¹ and shows the court as follows:

¹ Henrietta Williams, Laquana Beniman, Brian Jamar Williams, Jermaine Williams, and Latreka M. Edwards are sometimes

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Filed herewith is the Plaintiffs' Response to Defendants' Motion for Judgment on the Pleadings along with the Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss.

ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITY

Because of this court's order which denied the Plaintiffs' Motion to Allow an Amendment to the Complaint, the Plaintiffs now move this court for a dismissal of the remaining claims in this Court's jurisdiction, namely their 14th amendment rights, with prejudice and all other rights, if any, without prejudice. Absent consent, Rule 41(a)(2) of Federal Rules, provides for dismissal only by court order: "Except as provided in Rule 41(a)(1), an action may be dismissed at the plaintiff's request only by court order, on terms that the court considers proper."

In *Diamond v. U.S*, the Fifth Circuit established that the court was required to grant the dismissal but the only question was whether it was without prejudice or not. However, the court admitted that this holding has been criticized and held:

[T]he weight of authority is that the court may, in the exercise of its discretion, deny the motion or grant it and if it be granted such terms and conditions may be imposed as are proper.

267 F.2d 23, 25 (C.A.5 1959).

collectively referenced herein as "Plaintiffs."

Among other things, this Court at no point exercised pendant jurisdiction over any state law claims. For example, the Court's choosing not to allow the amendment to the Complaint, had no lawful effect on Plaintiffs' ability to exercise their rights to bring those claims in state court. The Court's denying Plaintiffs' motion to amend effectively was a decision not to exercise pendant jurisdiction.

The only claims remaining in this Court are those under the $14^{\rm th}$ Amendment, and it is right to dismiss those claims with prejudice. All other claims of any kind should be dismissed without prejudice.

CONCLUSION

For reasons of clarity and fairness, this Court should grant the instant motion.

Respectfully submitted this 9th day of February, 2010.

Robert P. McFarland, Sr. State Bar Number: 491250 (770) 889-2522

/s/ Robert P. McFarland, Sr. McFarland & McFarland, P. C. 309 Pirkle Ferry Road, B-400 Cumming, Georgia 30040

Sage Brown
State Bar Number: 089625
(912) 236-0639

/s/ Sage Brown
Sage Brown, P. C.
427 Montgomery St.
Savannah, GA 31401-4859

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have this day duly served all parties in this case with *Brief in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion to Dismiss* in accordance with the directives from the Court Notice of Electronic Filing ("NEF"), which was generated as a result of electronic filing.

Respectfully submitted this 9th day of February, 2010.

Robert P. McFarland, Sr. State Bar Number: 491250 (770) 889-2522 Attorney for Plaintiffs

/s/ Robert P. McFarland, Sr.
McFarland & McFarland, P. C.
309 Pirkle Ferry Road, B-400
Cumming, Georgia 30040
bob@mcfarlandmcfarland.com